Facilitating Citizen Science through Gamification



RYAN MURPHY

THE IDEA



CITIZEN SCIENCE is the phenomena of engaging the general public in the collection & analysis of scientific data.



The National Audubon Society's Christmas Bird Count Zooniverse's Galaxy Zoo

GAMIFICATION is the use of game elements in non-game contexts, typically to change the experience of that context.





The **GAMIFICATION** of **CITIZEN SCIENCE** might unlock new capacity in scientific projects by engaging volunteer citizen scientists.

DESIGN SCIENCE provides solutions to complex challenges while developing an innovative tool—the *design artifact*—with broad application.

HYPOTHESIS

Basic **GAMIFICATION** (quests, events, and rewards) would result in more contributions provided by citizen scientists on NLNature than in the control group.

THANKS TO DRS. JEFF PARSONS, ANNE STOREY, YOLANDA WIERSMA, ROMAN LUKYANENKO & PAUL RALPH

THE STUDY

DESIGN ARTIFACT: nlnature.com



Squirrel discovered by ws (#6205) Observed on Feb. 18, 2015 at 1:45PM Reported on Feb. 20, 2015 at 6:00PM Attributes: - brown, smaller tail Identification: - Squirrel

NLNature is a citizen natural history project that enables anyone in Newfoundland & Labrador to report natural history phenomena. The collected data is processed and analyzed by ecologists at Memorial University.

GAME MECHANICS

We defined a set of Quests and Events which participants could complete in order to obtain an NLNature Honorary Citizen Ecologist certificate.

QUEST EXAMPLE

Birdwatcher: Record at least 5 different bird species in any area other than at a bird feeder.

EVENT EXAMPLE

Bird blitz: We're looking at the birds this week.

PARTICIPANTS

17 participants from a population of approximately 500 students in first- and second-level Biology courses at Memorial University during the Winter 2015 semester.

PROCEDURE

- Upon registration, participants were randomly assigned to the treatment or control condition and emailed with instructions on using NLNature.
- These instructions included descriptions of the Quests, Events, and the reward for the treatment group and placeholder suggestions for the control group.
- Participants used NLNature freely throughout the study.
 Contributions were automatically collected by NLNature's database.

THE RESULTS

Summary of participants' contributions.

| | Number of | Sightings Reported | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Condition | Participants | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| Control | 8 | 0.25 | 0.71 |
| Game | 9 | 0 | 0 |

Only one participant across both groups submitted one sighting during the study period: <u>a member of the control</u> group!

DISCUSSION

RECRUITMENT CHALLENGES

Sample population stress:

- Participation may have appeared to require substantial commitment, discouraging typically distressed students¹ from registering for the study.

Volunteer motivations:

- We promoted the present study under the premise that students would contribute to natural history research.
- Promoting how participation is in the self-interest of students might have been more successful.²

The Winter season:

- It may have been paradoxical to encourage volunteer natural history research in the midst of Newfoundland's winter months.

INSTANTIATION VALIDITY

- Evaluation of the NLNature's validity as a gamified citizen

CONCLUSION

- Participants in the gamified condition did not contribute more than those in the control condition.
- Low registration prevents generalizing these results to gamification and citizen science.
- Better understanding the barriers to registration and participation we faced will improve the design of future artifacts.

1. American College Health Association. (2013). American College Health Association-National College Health Assessment II: Memorial University of Newfoundland Executive Summary Spring 2013. Hanover, MD.

2. Rotman, D., Preece, J., Hammock, J., Procita, K., Hansen, D., Parr, C., ... Jacobs, D. (2012). Dynamic changes in motivation in collaborative citizenscience projects. In *Proceedings of the ACM 2012 conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work* (pp. 217–226). ACM.